

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/760,137 | 01/12/2001 | Robert M. Lane | SUN-P5060-RJL | 2261 |
| 22835 | 7590 03/03/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP | | | LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE | |
| 508 SECON | D STREET | | 10010 | |
| SUITE 201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DAVIS, CA | DAVIS, CA 95616 | | | 6 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| . 7 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/760,137 | LANE, ROBERT M. | | | |
| riderice. y riedicii | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Etienne P LeRoux | 2171 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: Independent claims 1, 9, and 17 have be | een amended to include additional l | <u>imitations</u> . | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | · : | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | | | | | |
| | SU | SAFET METJAHIC IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |

2/24

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100